COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 1064-04

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 344

Subject: Agriculture and Animals; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils;

Education, Higher

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: May 20, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal creates the Farm-to-Table Advisory Board to increase

awareness of local agriculture and promote the incorporation of locally-

grown agricultural products in the cafeterias of schools.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FY 2014	FY 2013	FY 2012	FUND AFFECTED	
\$0		00	Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	
	\$0	\$0	Net Effect on	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses. This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1064-04

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 344

Page 2 of 5 May 20, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated				
Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1064-04

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 344

Page 3 of 5 May 20, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Section 262.950 - "Farm to Table Advisory Board"

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture** (MDA) state the fiscal impact on MDA is unknown. The amount of administrative assistance that may be required by this proposed legislation for the Farm-to-Table Advisory Board cannot be determined.

Officials at the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE)** assumes this proposal would create an advisory board, one member of which shall be a representative from DESE. The department will likely incur minimal administrative expenses related to service on this board.

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development** state this proposed bill creates a Farmto-Table Advisory Board under the administration of the Department of Agriculture. DED has a representative on the board and anticipates the need for \$3,333 associated with travel to board meetings and public meetings throughout the year.

Officials at the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** the department stated the proposed legislation should not result in additional costs or savings to BAP. There could be costs associated with travel for the Office of Administration committee member to attend meetings, although these costs should be minimal.

Oversight assumes that any administrative costs related to this proposal for the departments could be absorbed with existing appropriation.

Section 275.360 - Rice Farmers Exemption

Officials at the **Department of Agriculture** stated section 275.360 relates to the Commodity Council Merchandising fees and refunds. Commodity merchandising councils are authorized to contract with the Department of Agriculture to collect commodity merchandising program fees (i.e. checkoffs) under Section 275.350. All fees collected by the Department, other than for administrative costs, shall not be considered state funds (275.350.5(1). Therefore the addition of rice to 275.360 does not have any impact on state funds.

L.R. No. 1064-04

Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 344

Page 4 of 5 May 20, 2011

ASSUMPTION (Continued)

Officials at the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning (BAP)** assume the proposal's amendment of section 375.360 bars some refunds of rice commodity merchandising fee payments by exempting such payments from the section's application. BAP defers to the Department of Agriculture for an estimate on this provision's impact on total state revenues and fees

Bill as a Whole

Officials at the **University of Missouri** and **Department of Corrections** assumes there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

Oversight assumes there is no fiscal impact from this proposed legislation.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 1064-04 Bill No. Truly Agreed To and Finally Passed SCS for HCS for HB 344 Page 5 of 5 May 20, 2011

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Agriculture
Department of Corrections
Department of Economic Development
Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning
University of Missouri

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 20, 2011